Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/588,407	BLACKMORE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Steven D. Maki.	(3)	
(2) <u>David McEwing</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>26 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.	,	
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
Steven & Mal.		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 09/588,407

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative informing him that the after final amendment filed 3-30-05 will not be entered since it raises new issues and issues of new matter. Examiner also noted that (1) the after final amendment is non-compliant (claim 18 does not use strikethrough to indicate deletions) and (2) the substitute specification will not be entered because the clean copy includes underlining (page 12), the substitute specification does not contain the amendments filed 9/2002, there is no marked up copy and the substitute specification was not accompanied by a statement that no new matter was included.